Sing[illegible]nch

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

03

WRIT PETITION NO. 199 /2005

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 187/05
Presented by Shri Amit Kumar Dubey
dated 17.01.05

Nishant Kamde,
S/o. Shri P.C. Kamde,
Aged about 23 years,
R/o. 5-C, Teachers
Colony, Dallirajhara,
District Durg(C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Chairman,
Chhattisgarh State
R. Electricity Board,
Dangania, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Secretary,
Chhattisgarh State
Electricity Board,
R. Dangania, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur
14.1.05

3. Additional Secretary,
Chhattisgarh State
R. Electricity Board,
Dangania, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

32

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPS 193/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

28-4-2005

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing.

Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwant Tiwari, Advocate, appears on behalf of Mr. Abhishek Sinha, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Heard both the counsel.

The case of the petitioner is that he belongs to SC category and after passing Bachelor of Engineering in Mechanical Wing from Govt. Engineering College Jagdalpur in the year 2003, the State Electricity Board issued an advertisement in employment news inviting applications for the posts of Assistant Engineer and Junior Engineer. In response to same the petitioner applied for the post of Junior Engineer annexing all the testimonials. Thereafter result of the written examination was published by the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board. The petitioner was qualified in the said written examination and was called upon to appear in the interview which was conducted on 6-9-2003 and the petitioner remained successful in that interview and his name was included in the selection list and that came to be appeared in the newspaper 'Danik Bhaskar'. The petitioner received the letter for submission of the documents on 20th February 2004 and the petitioner submitted the documents. But, however, later on the father of the petitioner was informed that due to typographical mistake the petitioner has been put in

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

the category of ST instead of SC. When his father contacted the Secretary of the C.S.E.B., he was assured that typographical mistake will be corrected soon and the petitioner will be issued appointment letter. But so far that letter has not been issued.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same to which counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and respondent No.2 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation in an objectives manner after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A. No.449/2005 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)